Criteria	Ratings			
Creativity and Theme	5.0 pts Evident students put thought and effort into the theme of program	0.0 pts Program lack any cohesion with various activities and/or activities did not relate to theme		5.0 p s
Communication	5.0 pts Evident the organization supervisor was aware program. Participants were informed of upcom events at the beginning of the program.	ning leading	Organization supervisor was not aware of what the	
Organization	5.0 pts ALL equipment and supplies were ready befor program. Everyone in group had their assignm Student volunteers were aware of their duties d program.	ments. program. Leading group did not have assigned		5.0 p s
Time Management	5.0 pts Program started and ended in a timely manner rushing through activities in order to finish. Ac completed within a sensible time frame. Leader of time throughout program.	tivities were	0.0 pts Program did not start or end on time. Activities were rushed. Leaders had little awareness of the time of each activity or entire program.	5.0 p s

	Program La	b Rubric		
Criteria	Ratings			
Risk Management	<ul> <li>5.0 pts</li> <li>Leading group took proper precautions to create a safe environment for participants. It was evident steps were taken to ensure a safe program.</li> <li>0.0 pts</li> <li>It was evident during implementation of program risk was not assessed before and during program. Students did not address risk situations or take steps to decrease unsafe situations.</li> </ul>		5.0 pt s	
Adaptation	5.0 pts0.0 ptsGroup was able to adapt activities if needed. Group was able to restructure participants and activities if program needed modifying. Student appeared calm while reorganizing activities.0.0 ptsGroup did not identify when adaptations to 		5.0 pt	
Introduction/Closur e	5.0 pts Student introduced self in appropriate manner. heard by all participants and other students. A the program was implemented. Student thanked for attending.	proper ending to	0.0 pts Student did not introduce self. The closing was quick, no thanking of participants attendance was completed.	5.0 pt s

	Program Lab R	uDIIC		
Criteria	Ratings			
Leadership	5.0 pts0.0 ptsStudent clearly stepped into leadership role when leading program activities assigned. Student was clear in directions, assisted participants when needed, and directed student volunteers of their responsibilities.0.0 ptsStudent did not take on a major leadership role. Student allowed other students in group to take leadership role. Student was not involved in leading activities, assisting participants and did not direct volunteers of their responsibilities.		5.0 pt s	
Attitude	participants. Student was willing to help when need	Student presented self in positive, encouraging manner to participants. Student was willing to help when needed.St w wStudent interacted with participants and volunteers with anot		5.0 pt s
Quality Lab	5.0 pts Overall, the lab was well thought out. Extra prepara completed to enhance the lab. All group members w together equally and in positive manner.		0.0 pts Lab lack creativity and cohesion. Group members did not contribute equally to group program.	5.0 pt