

Highland Meadows Assessment & ITP

You've already rated students with this rubric. Any major changes could affect their assessment results.

Highland Meadows Assessment & ITP

Criteria	Ratings		Pts
Assessment Implementation	<p>15.0 pts Full Marks Student introduced self to participant. Student made participant feel welcomed. Student made eye contact, did not just look at paper during assessment. Student engaged with participant by conducting appropriate conversations to collect information.</p>	<p>7.5 pts Half Marks Student introduced self to participant. Student had limited eye contact with participant. Student focused more on paper than engaging with participant. Student gave little feedback during assessment with participant.</p>	15.0 pts
ITP: Background Page	<p>2.0 pts Full Marks Student addressed strengths and areas of pre-cautions for the participant on the ITP. Student wrote a brief summary of participant history.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No Marks Student had little to no information on strengths, pre-cautions and participant history.</p>	2.0 pts

Highland Meadows Assessment & ITP

Criteria	Ratings		Pts
ITP: Problem Areas, Goals, Objectives	<p>5.0 pts Full Marks Student addressed problem areas. Problem areas were related to assessment. Goals were well written. Objectives written were specific and details. Objectives included condition, criteria and performance. Objectives were measurable and time specific.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No Marks Problem areas address on ITP were not related to assessment results. Goals and objectives were poorly written. Goals and objectives were not related to problem areas. Objectives lacked required details.</p>	5.0 pts
ITP: Interventions & Information	<p>3.0 pts Full Marks Interventions written and chosen were appropriate for the selected problem areas. Interventions were related to the written goals and objectives. There was a clear connection between interventions and the information found through the assessment.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No Marks Interventions did not correspond with problem areas, goals and objectives. There was not a connection between the interventions and the rest of the ITP.</p>	3.0 pts
Total Points: 25.0			